Supervision Manual for Field Placement Supervisors
*This manual is the work product of GLACE. It is used with permission.

In 1993, a group of Los Angeles area ABA-accredited law schools formed a consortium, the Greater Los Angeles Consortium on Externships (GLACE). The purpose of the consortium was to develop joint standards for the field supervision of externs by field supervisors, judicial clerks, and research attorneys. This GLACE-endorsed field supervision manual incorporates those standards and highlights common workplace issues that are often of interest to students and field supervisors.
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SECTION I: EXPERIENTIAL LEARNING AND THE PROCESS OF BECOMING AN ATTORNEY

Law schools have a number of educational objectives, including exposure of students to different models of lawyering skills related to specific areas of legal practice, the acquisition of greater insight into the process of lawyering, the development of a sense of professional responsibility, and the awareness and ability to reflect on and learn from experiences. We stress to students that "doing" the work that they see performed by their field supervisors is just one component of the externship experience. Equally as important as performing lawyering tasks is the process of experiential learning in which students learn to reflect on their observations in order to make sense of their experiences and in order to integrate that reflection "to create new, or modify existing knowledge."\(^1\)

As externship directors, we probably define your role as a field supervisor and mentor more broadly than you. Although we appreciate the attention you give externs regarding the performance of legal tasks such as drafting documents, discovering and using facts, and arguing motions, externs can learn other invaluable insights from your observations about the legal system. Many of the externs you will supervise will have little or no legal experience; the legal world is an unknown universe. Externs are like cultural anthropologists who need to discover the professional mores in order to understand and respond to the legal ethos. We therefore often request externs to focus on a different aspect of the lifestyle of lawyers in the particular legal field in which they are practicing. For instance, in order for students to understand the legal context in which they practice, they need to reflect on a number of issues such as: (1) the relationships between the attorneys and support staff, clients, opposing counsel, and judges; (2) the lifestyle and demographics of such an attorney including gender, race, age, salary, and working conditions; (3) the relationship between the legal work and attorney values, perceptions, and concepts of self-worth; and (4) ethical conundrums inherent in that type of law practice.

We expect students to discuss many of their observations with you; you can add a context to the student's observation by sharing your opinions about the legal system and the lifestyle of an attorney specializing in your particular field of practice. We also expect students to reflect on their experiences in journals. The process of writing complements the process of reflecting. It is therefore important for you and the extern to have a discussion at your earliest convenience regarding the scope of confidentiality for the written journals. This provides an excellent opportunity to discuss with the student the ethical and legal parameters of client confidentiality and any specific rules which your office may have regarding client loyalty and privilege.

You provide students a wealth of opportunities to not only practice law, but to experience and reflect upon the socialization process of becoming an attorney, as well as the quality of life your legal discipline might provide.

---

SECTION II: PROVIDING STRUCTURE FOR AND FEEDBACK TO EXTERNING LAW STUDENTS

During the time you supervise our law student(s), you are providing a critical part of the student’s legal education. Students earn a number of units for field placement experience and we are understandably concerned that they receive appropriately challenging work and regular, effective feedback. This section contains suggestions on preparing assignments for externs and provides you with a six step model approach to giving students feedback in a constructive manner, with the goal of assisting them to self-critique their own future work so that they will produce work that meets your goals in assigning the project.

A. STRUCTURING THE EXPERIENCE

1. Be prepared for the externs’ arrival:

Some externship placements have formal, well-established externship programs that provide new externs with materials describing everything from the structure of the office to the externs’ duties to the local eateries. It is far more common, however, to find that placements, whether large or small, run their externship program more informally. While there is nothing inherently wrong with some informality, students regularly report that early in the externship they spend a significant amount of time figuring out what they are supposed to do and what is expected of them.

You can avoid this problem by taking a few steps to prepare for the students’ arrival. First, ask yourself what you expect the externs to do in your office. Will they be working primarily with one attorney or several? Who will be primarily responsible for assigning and reviewing work? Who will oversee the externs’ assignments to ensure the students receive appropriate work that has sound pedagogical value? These are basic concerns for all students and it helps everyone if you have these issues settled before the students start work.

When the students arrive, take the time to conduct a brief orientation to the office. Particularly in large offices, it is very helpful if students are given a tour of the office and are introduced to people they will need to know. Explain up front your expectations and the students' obligations.

2. Provide Appropriate and Well-Defined Assignments

Perhaps the most common extern complaints concern the type and/or number of assignments and the attorneys’ or clerks’ failure to explain precisely what they want the student to do. These problems can be easily solved if one supervising attorney or clerk acts as a "clearinghouse" through which all assignments must pass. That attorney should gather potential assignments from other attorneys and review the proposed work before it is assigned. In this way, the placement can ensure that the assigning attorney has provided an adequate description of the work required and has equipped the student with enough background information to get
the work done. In addition, if one person takes responsibility for all extern assignments, she can make sure that no one student has too much or too little work and that no student gets bogged down with an assignment that is too burdensome or has only marginal educational value. At some placements, attorneys who wish to assign work to an extern use a standard "Request for Extern Help" form in which they describe the assignment so the proposed task can be reviewed and approved before it is passed along to a student extern. For more specific suggestions on designing and structuring assignments, see the checklist at the end of this section.

3. Arrange Weekly Conferences With Your Externs

This suggestion seems obvious, yet it is often overlooked. Because all supervisors are extremely busy practitioners, it is very easy to let weeks go by without spending any time one-on-one with your externs. But, from the law schools' perspective, it is essential that all students meet individually with their supervisors at least once a week to check in, review completed work, address any problems and discuss future assignments. If you schedule a weekly "standing appointment" to meet with your externs you are far less likely to find that your daily work prevents you from regularly meeting with the students.

B. A SIX-STEP MODEL APPROACH IN PROVIDING USEFUL AND EFFECTIVE FEEDBACK

Beryl Blaustone, Professor of Law, CUNY School of Law, and also the Director of the Mediation Clinic at Main Street Legal Services, Inc., developed a six step model to assist field or clinical supervisors in giving students constructive feedback. A goal of her model is to begin teaching law students to self-critique their own work and to begin to develop critical self-awareness in performance.

Step One: The Student Identifies Strengths of the Performance: The student should identify those aspects of the work that he or she feels were done well, including an identification of what the performance accomplished.

Step Two: The Supervisor Responds Solely to Those Items Raised by The Student: Giving only positive feedback, the supervisor at this stage confines remarks to those items raised by the student.

Step Three: The Supervisor Identifies Other Strengths in the Performance: The supervisor now adds additional points that were done well. This wide-open stage explores all facets of the performance that were accomplished satisfactorily or that show a potential for success, with specific illustrations of why these aspects were successfully executed.

---

Step Four: The Student Identifies Difficulties and/or Changes to be Made: The student now takes the initiative in identifying areas in need of improvement, coming forward with specific comments.

Step Five: The Supervisor Responds to the Identified Difficulties: Confining remarks to areas identified by the student for improvement, the supervisor comments on how the issues could be handled differently next time.

Step Six: The Supervisor Indicates Additional Difficulties: This final stage involves another wide-open exploration of all facets of the performance. The discussion focuses on aspects that were not satisfactorily accomplished, again with specific illustrations and concrete analysis.

To assist field supervisors in implementing the six step model, we suggest the following:

1. **Provide Feedback on All Assignments**

   The assigning attorney should provide timely feedback on *every assignment the extern completes*. Obviously, the nature of the feedback will vary depending on the type of assignment involved: a short research assignment resulting in a brief oral report may only warrant a five or ten-minute conversation, while a substantial written project deserves more time and attention. Students consistently report that receiving regular feedback throughout their externship highlighted their areas of weakness and greatly improved the learning experience. In addition, constructive feedback benefits the supervising attorneys who see vastly improved student performance.

   When we talk about "providing feedback" we do not mean to suggest that the attorney should offer suggestions and the student should sit passively and accept those suggestions. Students will get far more out of a discussion when they are actively involved in evaluating their own performance. To that end, students should be encouraged to assess their own work and to provide suggestions as to how the work could be improved.

2. **Solicit the Student's Assessment of Her Performance on All Assignments**

   When reviewing an extern's work it is useful to first ask the student to evaluate both the assignment and her own performance. For example, did she think the assignment was appropriately challenging? Was it too difficult? Was the project adequately explained so she knew what was expected of her? If she encountered obstacles or questions along the way, did the assigning attorney provide helpful guidance? Is the student satisfied with her own performance? If not, what changes would she make?

---

These questions will not only help focus the conversation, they will force the student to reflect on the work she has done and what she could have done to improve it. The student is far more likely to accept suggestions for improvement if she has independently recognized the areas that need attention. Furthermore, the student's assessment may help highlight problems that need to be addressed: perhaps problems with the final work product were created by the attorney's limited description of the assignment or by the student's unfamiliarity with the necessary research tools. If the attorney elicits the student's impressions, these issues can be uncovered and handled more effectively.

3. Providing Constructive Feedback

Most extern supervisors are very concerned with making the students' externship pleasant and, as a result, may shy away from the sometimes uncomfortable task of critiquing the students' work. While this impulse is understandable, students need, deserve and actually want honest feedback on their work.

In our experience, students often assume that "no news is good news," and will continue to repeat the same errors unless they are given specific notice that improvement is necessary. We therefore urge all supervisors to provide feedback early in the semester so any problems can be addressed before the externship proceeds too far. Most students are eager to become good lawyers and welcome specific advice on how they can sharpen their skills.

What sort of feedback should you offer and how should you go about it? First, include a healthy dose of positive feedback. In fact, it is a good idea to start off on a positive note. For example, even if the student's writing needs improvement, you may be able to honestly commend the student's research abilities. And if the research was weak, perhaps the student's eagerness and curiosity warrant a compliment. While you should not be reluctant to criticize the work where necessary, students are apt to be less defensive if they hear some good news first.

In order to be effective, suggestions for improvement should be as specific as possible. Instead of telling a student to "tighten up the writing" or "use the facts more effectively," take a portion of the student's work and show her how to edit and rewrite the assignment. While this kind of feedback can be time-consuming, it is also the most helpful.

We recognize that it can be difficult to systematically review students' work and cover all the relevant points. Below we have set out nine categories you may want to consider when reviewing a student's performance. You may not need or want to touch on each of these categories during every feedback session. But if you assess the student's performance on a specific assignment with these categories in mind it may help both you and the student focus on the areas of concern.

(a) Research Ability
- knows the basic, non-computer library research tools and how to use them

---

4 This list is presented and discussed in A. Alexander and J. Smith, A Practical Guide for Cooperative Supervision for Law Students and Legal Employers, supra, at pp. 216-217. See also, Beryl Blaustone, Teaching Law Students to Self-Critique and to Develop Critical Clinical Self-Awareness in Performance, 13 CLIN. L. REV. 601 (2006).
is familiar with computerized legal research resources
does thorough, careful and accurate work
produces practical and useful results

(b) Legal Analysis
integrates legal concepts and theory with facts in a coherent and logical progression
is able to identify relevant issues and distinguish a logical hierarchy among them.

(c) Intellectual Capacity
displays intellectual curiosity
thinks creatively and imaginatively
develops alternative avenues of argument
pursues analogous extensions in areas where the law is nebulous
explores subsidiary and related issues uncovered by research to develop innovative legal theory

(d) Writing Skill
writes clearly, precisely and persuasively
drafts well-organized written assignments
cites accurately and properly

(e) Clarity of Oral Expression
speaks well and is easily understood
is able to discuss issues clearly
communicates effectively in various advocacy proceedings

(f) Judgment
is mature
exercises good common sense
knows how and when to ask questions or seek additional consultation
sets appropriate priorities in handling assigned work

(g) Responsibility
is trustworthy and acts ethically
takes initiative
is dependable and conscientious about work
meets deadlines and manages time well
works independently and efficiently without sacrificing quality
accepts criticism and constructively modifies work habits

(h) Client Relations
develops effective working relationships with clients
is sensitive and responsive to client needs
knows how to be diplomatically persistent

(i) "Plus" Traits
shows an interest in the employer's work
has a sense of humor
is cooperative and accommodating to the needs of the office
is even-tempered
remains unruffled in emergency situations
• is courteous and respectful to all staff
• demonstrates sensitivity to office human relations dynamics
• appears self-confident and enthusiastic
• maintains a professional demeanor

4. Keep the lines of communication open:

No matter how informal and friendly your office may be, there is no denying the fact that there is a significant imbalance of power between supervising attorneys and their externs. Most students are exquisitely aware of their place in the office hierarchy and may be reluctant to ask questions or seek advice for fear of appearing incompetent. In our experience, the best supervisory relationships exist when students feel free to approach their supervisors with all questions, large or small. Supervisors should therefore make every effort to create and maintain a comfortable and effective working relationship that will maximize both the students' educational experience and their contributions to your office.

C. SUPERVISORS' CHECKLIST FOR ASSIGNMENT CLARIFICATION

A key to a successful externship, whether in judicial chambers or in a more typical law office, is the ability of a supervising attorney or clerk to give assignments to the extern effectively. When any project is assigned, it is important to know exactly what you expect from the student and communicate all aspects of your expectations to him or her. Below is a checklist you may find helpful to ensure less confusion and more productivity for both you and the extern.

1. Have you explained each assignment with the relative inexperience of the student in mind?

Have you discussed the basic objectives of the assignment or project with the student? Does the student know how this particular assignment fits into the overall case file and what the assignment will help you or the judge accomplish or resolve? Effective supervisors take the time to explain:

• When drafts of the assignment are due, as well as when the final product is due.

• How much time you expect the student to spend on the assignment, including time for research and drafting (keeping in mind that students are often inexperienced and require extra time for thorough research). How many issues do you expect the student to address? How technically perfect do you want the letter/memo/brief to be in terms of case cites, for example? Do you want a rough draft or a more polished draft? How often should the student check in with you for a progress meeting? Is your schedule communicated to the student, and the student's schedule communicated to you, so that progress meetings are accomplished as planned?
 Is the student aware of the format you require or expect? Have you provided the student with an example of the format of the memo, brief or letter to assist the student in understanding your expectations?

 Who should the student ask for assistance if you are unavailable?

 Have you provided the student with some guidance in terms of starting points for legal research to help focus the issue?

 Have you asked the student if he/she has questions (again, remembering that some students may be unfamiliar with the substantive area of law you are asking them to address)?

2. Have you followed up regularly with your students as assignments progress?

As students begin working on assignments, they often need additional and periodic help, assignment clarification, reassurance, or relief. Redefinition of the task is common as the student gathers information and gains a more precise understanding of the assignment. Since interactions during this phase are frequently marked by informality and brevity, the importance of these exchanges can be easily overlooked. Have you been diligent in keeping those scheduled progress meetings?

3. Have you provided students with feedback on their work?

As the assignment progresses, and again at the completion of it, you should solicit student impressions about performance and convey your impressions about the performance on the assignment, using the Blaustone Six Step Model if that works well for you. Without periodic feedback, neither you nor the student can effectively evaluate his or her performance and make any necessary changes to result in a final product which closely resembles your goals for the assignment and provides your student with a sense of accomplishment.
SECTION III: LAW STUDENTS AND WORKPLACE CONFIDENTIALITY

The observance by lawyers and their respective employees of the ethical obligations of confidentiality is a fundamental principle of the lawyer-client relationship. The KU Law School recommends that all externship placements implement steps to ensure that law students, who may or may not have experienced formal training in professional responsibility at the time of the placement, are aware of the specific confidentiality policies of the placement. The KU Law School recommends that:

♦ Confidentiality policies be in writing and distributed to each extern or law student volunteer each semester or summer session;

♦ Students sign an acknowledgement of receipt of the policies; and

♦ Students actively engage in dialogue throughout the term of the placement with supervising attorneys on the importance of confidentiality and the ethical implications involved in individual cases or circumstances.

For your convenience, you can find the confidentiality rules for Kansas and Missouri at:

♦ Kansas – http://www.kscourts.org/rules (Rule 1.6: Client-Lawyer Relationship: Confidentiality of Information)

♦ Missouri – http://www.courts.mo.gov/ (Rule 4 – Rules of Professional Conduct, 4-1.6 Confidentiality of Information)
SECTION IV: TYPICAL FIELD PLACEMENT ISSUES

According to the American Bar Association standards regulating law school field placements and the KU Law School’s academic standards, there are several objectives and standards of supervision that must be met to maintain the quality and academic integrity of externship programs. Such standards are specifically addressed in the A.B.A. Standard 306. Below are several typical issues that occur most frequently in field placements which impede effective and successful extern performance.

1. Lack of constructive feedback on work product

While we recognize the importance of students completing assignments independently, and learning from doing, it has been our experience that many supervisors do not spend the necessary time providing constructive criticism on work assignments. It is imperative to the learning process to provide students with feedback on an ongoing basis. Only when a student understands the drafting or strategic errors made on a project do they receive the most value from the assignment. Please refer to Section II in which suggested methods of offering constructive feedback are discussed at length.

2. Lack of communication regarding project expectations

Often, externship students express frustration with the level of explanation offered when given a project. Students participating in the externship program typically have a certain allotted time they are able to spend at the placement each week and not having a clear understanding of what is expected of them on a specific project typically results in lost time and an inferior work product. This can be avoided when supervisors take the time at the beginning of an assignment to give a clear understanding of the circumstances leading up to the assignment and the proposed end result. It is also extremely helpful to offer starting point suggestions. Please refer to Section II of this manual which addresses our recommendation for the most effective way to give assignments.

3. Lack of meaningful supervision

Below are several issues with field placement supervision which constitute lack of meaningful supervision:

(a) Too many students under the supervision of one placement supervisor.

An externship is most successful when each supervising attorney is responsible for no more than three or four students. On more than one occasion a placement supervisor has had primary responsibility for five or more students during a semester. To provide constructive feedback, meet regularly with students individually (a topic to be discussed below) and monitor student progress in the placement, placement supervisors should limit the number of students they are directly supervising. This allows more time and flexibility for the supervisor as well as gives the student a more personal and valuable learning experience.
(b) **Lack of regular meetings with students.**

Some supervisors do not schedule weekly meetings with the students. An obvious component to providing the most meaningful supervision and feedback is actually scheduling the time to go over the progress of each student individually. Such meetings should take place at a minimum of once a week and should cover both substantive work and professional development when applicable.

(c) **Not providing enough work**

Externship coordinators receive complaints from students that they are not given a sufficient amount of work throughout the semester. Some students have to create their own work or wait idly for something substantive to do. Although externship coordinators encourage students to be proactive and assertive in seeking work assignments, it is an extremely important part of supervising students to make certain that at all times they have meaningful work. Law schools can only award academic credit and evaluate each student based on the work they actually perform.

(d) **Assigning non-substantive/administrative/personal tasks.**

Students are sometimes given administrative or even personal tasks to perform. The supervising attorney has the responsibility to maintain the academic credibility of the externship program by assigning substantive legal work. Understandably, as with most organizations, team efforts to meet deadlines or prepare for trial are often required; during such times, attorneys and other professional staff may perform tasks that are not standard for their position. However, it is difficult for schools to assert the value of an externship when students report they are spending entire days photocopying documents or organizing a filing system for current cases. Time spent performing administrative tasks should be minimized by the supervising attorney and personal errands or tasks should never be assigned.

(e) **Hours required may be excessive in relation to externship expectations**

Many supervisors assign students far more work than can actually be performed in the amount of time the student and the school has allotted for the externship. As we all remember, the demands of a law student are many. Each student will typically schedule their classes based on the time they know they will spend at an externship. It is extremely difficult and frustrating to students when they have to put aside other school work in order to balance the demands of the externship. While students understand that life as a lawyer demands a constant struggle to balance priorities, often they will make time to work for the externship to the detriment of other course work. To this end, placement supervisors should consider law students’ external demands when asking them to work hours in excess of the weekly time allotted for the placement.
(f) Lack of communication with law school contact.

Finally, placement supervisors often wait too late to involve the law school externship coordinator when problems arise. Keeping open lines of communication is essential to successful placements. When any sort of conflict arises, whether it is related to the quality of work, work habits, or general attitude toward the supervisor and/or the work, it is imperative to contact the school immediately to identify the problem and discuss potential remedies before the conclusion of the program. As our goal is to ensure the most mutually beneficial relationship between both parties, we can typically offer assistance in resolving the issue or deal with the problem completely from our end. When, in a final evaluation of the student's work, we discover a student has not performed up to standard, we are faced with the difficult dilemma of failing them or substantially reducing the amount of credit they receive. If we were able to intervene early enough we may prevent this unfortunate circumstance and remedy the problem behavior, or, if most appropriate, terminate the placement.

In conclusion, while most of our placements are excellent and provide a wonderful practical training ground for our students, placement supervisors can improve dramatically the overall effectiveness of the program by remembering the above-mentioned pitfalls. Each supervising attorney should spend time carefully reviewing what an effective placement supervisor is and remember to use the law school externship coordinator as a resource whenever any problem arises. Jennifer Schmidt, the KU Law School Field Placement Director, is available by phone or e-mail and contact information is provided on the first page of this manual. Please do not hesitate to discuss issues with us as they arise to keep a potentially difficult situation from spiraling into an uncontrollable problem that frustrates the learning process and the benefit of externships for all parties.
SECTION V: THE WORKPLACE ENVIRONMENT FOR
STUDENT EXTERNS

The workplace environment is extremely important to the successful externship experience. Students who feel comfortable and welcome are far more productive. While it may not always be possible to provide separate workspace for each extern, we recommend, at a minimum, that students be provided with:

- A desk or other secure workspace that is their own;
- A phone or easy access to a phone;
- A desktop computer, or sufficient access to one to facilitate prompt assignment completion;
- Sufficient office supplies to accomplish assigned tasks;
- Access to adequate legal research materials to accomplish assigned tasks;
- Access to support staff, if necessary to accomplish a task;
- Office keys or restroom keys, if necessary;
- Copier and fax access, if necessary to accomplish a task;
- Clear instructions regarding parking or reimbursement for parking expenses;
- Written office procedures and policies.

Along with the physical setup of the office, it is important to include the student in the office culture. The more the student is treated as part of the team, the better the experience will be for the student and most likely, performance will be positively influenced. As a minimum, consider some of the following:

- Students be invited to meetings, if they are relevant to the work or may enhance understanding of the project or task;
- Students be included in investigation or research out of the office, if appropriate or may enhance the understanding of the task or project;
- Office memoranda be circulated to students, if appropriate;
- Students be included in the informal matters of the workplace, such as celebrations or group luncheons;
- Students have been formally introduced to all staff they are likely to encounter during the workday;
- Students understand and receive instructions as to any workplace limitations, such as areas that may be off-limits or files or materials that may be sensitive or confidential.

Finally, it is critically important to communicate clearly and frequently with externs. Open communication can prevent misunderstandings, clarify office relationships and ensure that your extern is a functioning member of your work environment and the mission of your team.
SECTION VI: WORKPLACE ACCESS FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES

Externship programs must be accessible to students with disabilities. By way of introduction, it is interesting to note that the number of law students with disabilities is dramatically increasing. This increase is due in large part to the fact that elementary and high school students with disabilities, since the 1970’s, have been provided more educational opportunities, and the passage and publicity of the Americans with Disabilities Act in 1990. It is estimated that approximately 10 percent of all law students have some sort of disability, which may or may not require a reasonable accommodation.

The Americans with Disabilities Act (hereinafter “ADA”) was passed by Congress and signed by President Bush in 1990. The Act has five titles, covering employment, state and local government programs, public accommodations, telecommunications and miscellaneous provisions.

In passing the ADA, Congress noted that there are over 900 different disabilities. Therefore, rather than attempt to list all of them, Congress used the definition in place since 1973, that of the Rehab Act. The definition of a person with a disability has three prongs:

- A person with a substantial impairment from a major life activity,
- A person with a record or history of such an impairment, and
- A person who is perceived as having such an impairment.

An impairment can be mental, physical, emotional, sensory, or really of almost any type.

The key phrases are substantial impairment and major life activity. A substantial impairment is compared to that of an average person. For example, somebody who cannot run a marathon probably does not have a substantial impairment from mobility, someone who cannot walk a block probably does. Major life activities include things such as caring for oneself, walking, seeing, hearing, breathing, speaking, learning or working.

---

5 42 U.S.C. Section 12101 et. seq.
6 Although the ADA had been portrayed as a radical new law, it was actually based in large part on an earlier law, the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. This Act is generally referred to as section 504 because that was the number it had during passage. Section 504 prohibited discrimination against persons with disabilities who were employed by the federal government, entities that received federal funding and entities that had federal contracts. The large body of case law developed under section 504 informs our discussion concerning the ADA.
7 For example, visual impairments, mobility impairments, depression, high blood pressure, cancer, multiple chemical sensitivity, and back injuries may all be covered.
8 Please note that when you are assessing whether a person is substantially impaired for major life activity you should do that assessment without regard to the availability of mitigating measures. Therefore, somebody who is
The ADA only prohibits discrimination against qualified individuals. The term qualified individual with a disability means an individual with a disability who has the skill, experience, education and other job related requirements of the position and who with or without reasonable accommodation can perform the essential functions of such position.

Title II of the ADA provides that a law school must not discriminate in offering and placing people with disabilities in externships. Besides this responsibility, the postsecondary institution must be sure that the outside source of employment provides appropriate accommodations for the student.\(^9\) It is clear that the law school must be sure that its externship placements are, as a whole, accommodating qualified students with disabilities.

The desired result is that the student with the disability will not be excluded from an externship on the basis of his or her disability. Also, the law school is responsible, when placing students in externships, to make sure that the externship site does not discriminate against students based on their disabilities; that the externship site accommodates the student’s disability; and that the student is given a reasonable range of options with regard to having an opportunity to participate in an externship.\(^10\)

Title III applies to any non-government or court placements. This Title prohibits persons who own, lease, lease to, or operate a place of public accommodation from discriminating against persons with disabilities. The purview of this section is very broad, applying to almost any privately owned entity, certainly covering all legal services organizations or any other private placement. Placements must make reasonable modifications in their policies, practices, or procedures, unless to do so would fundamentally alter the nature of their services. Most of the cases under Title III have dealt with communication problems, failing to provide interpreters for persons who having hearing impairments.\(^11\)

Placements must make themselves accessible to persons with disabilities if it is “readily achievable”. This is assessed by considering the cost, the overall resources of the facility and the types of operations. Each person with a disability is different, as is each workplace, so exactly what needs to be done to accommodate a specific situation is very fact specific.

As an example, a law student who uses a wheelchair for mobility will need either a ramp or elevator if there are stairs. They might also require a desk that is higher, more room to maneuver, an accessible restroom and other particular requirements. A law student who is hearing impaired may require a text telephone, may require an interpreter at times and possibly other assistance. Other issues may arise with students with learning disabilities who may need extra time from a supervisor or extra assistance. In most cases, these types of modifications

---

\(^9\) 34 C.F.R. §104.12 (a): A recipient shall make reasonable accommodation to the known physical or mental limitations of an otherwise qualified handicapped applicant or employee unless the recipient can demonstrate that the accommodation would impose an undue hardship on the operation of its program.

\(^10\) Title II applies in exactly the same way to any state or local government agency. This includes the State Courts. The federal government is covered by the Rehab. Act of 1973, and the Federal Courts are not specifically covered.

would be readily achievable, but there could be a case where the demand was so great that it caused a fundamental alteration of the services.

In general, the ADA is intended to provide equal access for law students with disabilities to participate in an externship experience. As we know, these externship experiences are enormously valuable to law students in many ways.
SECTION VII: WORKPLACE FREE OF ILLEGAL OR UNWANTED HARASSMENT

Law schools are committed to providing students with internship and externship placements that are free from illegal and unwelcome harassment. Sexual harassment is prohibited under both Federal and State law. Law schools expect all placement agencies to be cognizant of the law and provide a work environment for students free of harassment.

Prohibited harassment includes verbal, physical and visual conduct where: (1) submission to the conduct is made either an explicit or implicit condition of employment or business, service or professional relationship; (2) submission or rejection of the conduct is used as a basis for an employment decision or decision affecting the terms of a business, service or professional relationship; or (3) the harassment interferes with an employee’s or other’s work performance or creates an intimidating, hostile or offensive work environment for the employee, extern, intern or other protected person.

Sexual harassment can take many forms and includes, but is not limited to, the following: slurs, jokes, statements, email messages, gestures, assault, impeding or blocking another’s movement or otherwise physically interfering with normal work, pictures, drawings or cartoons based upon an employee, intern, extern or other protected person’s sex or gender. Sexual harassment also includes unwelcome conduct such as requests for sexual favors, conversation containing sexual comments and other unwelcome sexual advances. Sexually harassing conduct can be performed by a person of either the same or opposite sex as the person who is the subject of harassment.

It is also illegal under both Kansas and federal law to retaliate, or tolerate retaliation by any person, against an employee, intern, extern or other protected person for either making a complaint of harassment or cooperating in an investigation of alleged harassment.

An intern or extern who believes he or she has been harassed is encouraged to promptly report the incident to his or her law school internship/externship director. The University of Kansas has internal policies and procedures regarding harassment and will take appropriate steps as required in the policy, including contact with the placement agency complained of, if appropriate.

12 Title VII of the Civil Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. Section 2000e et.seq.
SECTION VIII: EXTERNSHIP FIELD SUPERVISOR’S EVALUATION FORM\textsuperscript{13}

Externship Placement: ________________________________________________________________

Supervisor(s): ________________________________________________________________

Student Extern: ________________________________________________________________

Unless otherwise requested, this evaluation may be shared with your student.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LAWERING SKILLS</th>
<th>Not Applicable</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Legal Knowledge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral Communication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interviewing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Client Counseling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negotiation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Skills</td>
<td>________________</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROFESSIONALISM/ WORK HABITS</th>
<th>Not Applicable</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Client Relations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office and Staff Relations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Ethics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initiative</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judgment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thoroughness and Attention to Detail</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dependability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitude toward Supervision, Criticism</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Productivity and Time Management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{13} This evaluation form was developed by the Greater Los Angeles Consortium on Externships (“GLACE”).
STRENGTHS: Please describe the extern’s contributions to your chambers or office, such as the type of projects completed or areas in which the extern showed particular strength or skill:

____________________________________________________________________________________________

____________________________________________________________________________________________

____________________________________________________________________________________________

NEEDS IMPROVEMENT: For each category in which you rated the extern “Poor” or “Fair,” please provide examples or otherwise describe the reason for the rating:

____________________________________________________________________________________________

____________________________________________________________________________________________

____________________________________________________________________________________________

EXTERNSHIP PROGRAM: Do you have any suggestions for improving our externship program in general, or ways we might assist you better in the future?

____________________________________________________________________________________________

____________________________________________________________________________________________

____________________________________________________________________________________________

Date: ____________________________ SIGNATURE OF JUDGE OR ATTORNEY SUPERVISOR

________________________________ PRINT OR TYPE NAME

______________________________ TITLE

Thank you for participating in the Externship Program.

Please return the completed form to: Jenniferr Schmidt
KU Law School, Field Placement Program Director
1535 W. 15th Street
Lawrence, Kansas 66045
schmidtj@ku.edu
As you know, all American Bar Association-accredited law schools are subject to an accreditation review from time to time. As part of regular accreditation inspections, Accreditation Committees are required to evaluate field placement programs. In particular, Committees are required to evaluate the qualifications, training and performance of field instructors and to determine whether the placements are meeting their stated educational objectives. Additionally, standards require frequent contact with supervisors, visits to field placements, and in some instances, mandatory classroom components. To more fully assist you in understanding the structure of our programs and the requirements imposed on our students, faculty and field supervisors, we include a copy of ABA Standard 305 in your supervision manual for your convenience.
Standard 305. STUDY OUTSIDE THE CLASSROOM

(a) A law school may grant credit toward the J.D. degree for courses that involve student participation in studies or activities in a format that does not involve attendance at regularly scheduled class sessions, including courses approved as part of a field placement program, moot court, law review, and directed research.

(b) Credit granted for such a course shall be commensurate with the time and effort required and the anticipated quality of the educational experience of the student.

(c) Each student’s educational achievement in such a course shall be evaluated by a faculty member. When appropriate a school may use faculty members from other law schools to supervise or assist in the supervision or review of a field placement program.

(d) The studies or activities shall be approved in advance and periodically reviewed following the school’s established procedures for approval of the curriculum.

(e) A field placement program shall include:

   (1) a clear statement of its goals and methods, and a demonstrated relationship between those goals and methods and the program in operation;

   (2) adequate instructional resources, including faculty teaching in and supervising the program who devote the requisite time and attention to satisfy program goals and are sufficiently available to students;

   (3) a clearly articulated method of evaluating each student’s academic performance involving both a faculty member and the site supervisor;

   (4) a method for selecting, training, evaluating, and communicating with site supervisors;

   (5) for field placements that award three or more credit hours, regular contact between the faculty supervisor or law school administrator and the site supervisor to assure the quality of the student educational experience, including the appropriateness of the supervision and the student work;

   (6) a requirement that each student has successfully completed instruction equivalent to 28 credit hours toward the J.D. degree before participation in the field placement program; and

   (7) opportunities for student reflection on their field placement experience, through a seminar, regularly scheduled tutorials, or other means of guided reflection. Where a student may earn three or more credit hours in a field placement program, the opportunity for student reflection must be provided contemporaneously.

(f) A law school that has a field placement program shall develop, publish, and communicate to students and site supervisors a statement that describes the educational objectives of the program.
**Interpretation 305-1**
Regular contact may be achieved through in-person visits or other methods of communication that will assure the quality of the student educational experience.

**Interpretation 305-2**
A law school may not grant credit to a student for participation in a field placement program for which the student receives compensation. This Interpretation does not preclude reimbursement of reasonable out-of-pocket expenses related to the field placement.
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